FIRST IN CITIES	1195
of a constrable come reported under sec	tion 154 Cr. P. C. at PS. 99/17 - 99/17
Piet Dwalla Sub Diver Shalad PS	Thaldw year 2017 FIRMO 1214 Dates 1777 +
We Sections 49811 3040 323	i) Act X Sections 7/4 0 P Qr F.
X Sections /x July	iv) Other acts & Sections 3/9 0.1.40
3. a) General Diary Reference : Entry No. 1167	wast anorne grown & or 20/0/2017
b) Occurrence of Offence: Day Since after from	- 1 1 A
	1645 kg GD Na 167 at the P.S.
c) Information received Date 29,07,07  4. Type of Information: Written/Oral Type. Cours Corons	hant vide mise petition no 118 gast.
5. Place of Occurence: a) Direction and Districts from P.S.	15 KM West Silli Dist Ranchi Thankon
5. Place of Occumence: a) Direction and Distroce from P.S. b) Address Wilege Longahatu Kauladih	P.O. Chhotomure Ps. Successif Man
b) Address Village Be	
c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the name of P.	S. Silli District Ranchi (Thateline)
6. Complainant / Informant:	04.1.1
	C Marin
a) Name: Varate Mahane Sport Kuma b) Father's / Husband's Name WO Monay Kuma	
Mage Chazamdag 10	W. Company and the state of the
7. Details of known/suspected/unknown/accused with rull partice	Day 5
(Attach separate cheet, if necessary):	lanoj kumaz Mahato spo zni Nitai Mahato.
Accused 10 N	Longi Kumaz Mahalo 3/0 an 141 fac faran
apartito of	litai Mahatro so Late Bishow Mahato.
& N	Titai Manara apera de la
3	gita Mahata Mo Nifai Mahato
	friellige - Lengahatu (Kauladik)
allo	I millage - Lengahatu (Kauladek)
	Pol Chhotomuri
	PS, Zellig
	Dist - Ranchi Thankand State.
	Thankand pine.
R. Reasons for delay in recording by the complainant/In? Imant.	No. of the same and described of the same
	)
9. Priculars of properties stolar/involved: (Attach separate she	er, il recursor.
<b>)</b>	The second secon
10. Total value of properties stoler/involved	The second se
11. Inquest report/U.D. Case ris., # any:	as f.t. R. is attached herewith course as f.t. R. is attached herewith
12. Lint Contrads: (Allach separate sheets, if required)	of Complaint US 156(3) CR. P. Creeling
The original con	That Purulin Court
Em 18 Chick V	udicial Magiotime
Bon) The target	as FIR is attached reserving
Which to mening	as 1.1. K. Is the state of the
	100 of 201 of 223 Ophalda Pourulia
13 Action taken. Since the above report reveals commission of	foffence(s) wis 1478 17 3419 300
13. Action taken: Since the above report reveals commission of	Tail
	Ob and Murray . Be below up the
registered the case and teak up the investigation directed.	ASI Mrunmay Chorodhury to take up the on point of jurisciction. Filt read over to
investigation/transferred to P. S.	y on point of parsucular interest
investigation/transferred to P. S.  Complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded	and a coby dagum as cradinal assessment
	harge
	Stare, Inspector in-crisistion
	Signature of the Officer in Charge, Rende Standard
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
on the Court Complaint	Name Sahadel fano
ou me court of grand	Rank SJ. of Folker

What Mahato






In the Court of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, at Purulia.

Misc. ( No. 1/8 of 2017.

## Name of the Complainant:

Warati Mahato, daughter of Nirmal Mahato or the wife of Manoj Kumar Mahato, resident of village Chamardag, p.o. Tulin, p.s. Jhalda, District Purulia



- 1. Manoj Kumar Mahato son of Sri Nitai Mahato
- 2. Nitai Mahato son of late . Biskny Makato
- 3. Gita Mahato wife of Nitai Mahato

All Hindu by faith, resident of village Lengahatu, (Kauladih) p.o. Chhotomuri, p.s. Silli, Dist. Ranchi in the state of Jharkhand.



Received on 29/7/17 Date of occurance; -Started Thalker PS. On 21/01/2017 at village Lengahatu(Kauladihi)

talda Police Station

Dist-Purulia

## Offence committed:

U/S 498 N/354B/323 -- I. P. Code 2 3/4 7 Dany Probebshin Act

Name of the witnesses: -

- 1. Mirmal Chandra Mahato son of late Corachand Mahato
- 2. Bi shnu Kumar son of late Gurupada Kumar
- 3. of village Chamardag p.o. Tulin, p.s. Jhalda District Purulia.
- 3. Rakhahari (Rakha) Mahato e/o Coth: Arayag Hahito
  vill-brandundi. P.O. Silli. P.S. Silli Dist Ranchi (Trankhand)

4. Dilip Singh son of Sisir Singh

in age to on . . . .





village Marlong, p.o. Rola, p.s. Jhalda, Dist. Purulia

The above named complainant begs to state as follows:-

- 1. That, the complainant is the resident of village Chamardag, within p.s. Jhalda and within the jurisdiction of this court.
- 2. That the complainant got married with one Manoj Kumar Mahato of willage Lengahatu (Kauladih) within p.s. Silli in the state of Jharkhand according to lindurites and customs. The marriage was solemnized in the father's house of the complainant on 7/07/2013
- 3. That, after marriage the complainant along with her husband used to live peacefully in the matrimonial house of the complainant at village Lengahatu for sometimes. It is submitted that at the time of marriage the father of the accused no. 1 demanded money of Rs, 1,00,000/= (Rupees one lakh) and a motor-cycle and some ornaments for the accused no. 1. The father of the complainant fulfiled the demand of the father of the accused no. 1.
- 4. That, after few months the family of the husband of the complainant started torturing both physically and mentally to the complainant and again demanded Rs, 50,000/= and asked the complainant to inform the same to her father and the complainant getting afraid of the torture and was under hope that if her father pay





pay another Rs, 50,000/= then the torture upon the complainant by the accused person will stop and accordingly the complainant informed to her father and her father paid Rs, 50,000/= to the father of the accused no. 1 i.e. Nital Mahato and stated that as per the demand the father of the husband of the complainant have already been fulfilled the demand.

- 5. That, it is submitted that after some time the accused persons stopped torturing and thereafter again the accused persons started torture to the complainant and the complainant narrated all the incident to Sunil Mahato, the brother of the complainant and accordingly the father of the complainant took her daughter in his house and thereafter the parents of the accused person no. 1 on 04.11.2016 had been to the village Chamardag and confess their guilty and promised before the father of the complainant and before villagers that they will not torture the complainant and took the complainant with them in the matrimonial house of the complainant.
- 5. That, on 22.01.2017 in the early morning, the complainant called Sunil Mahato and stated that the accursed persons again started torturing and this time they crossed their limit and is not possible to stay in the matrimonial home and accordingly the elder brother of the complainant and her parents came to the matrimonial home and found that their daughter is sitting on door and is waiting for them and father of two





the time took her daughter and can came to willage Chamardag and since then the complanant is residing in her paternal house with a hope that all will be settled within few days. But instead of setting the accused person threatened the complainant and her family members that if they are willing to send the complainant in her matrimonial house they should be sent her along with Rs, 50,000/=

- 6. That, your petitioner submits that your petitioner came to know from the accused no. 1 the husband of the complainant that he has got married with a woman and he will spend his life with his second wife.
- 7. That your petitioner submits on 15/06/2017 your petitioner ultimately went to Tulin police(o.p.) station to lodge an F.I.R against the accused person and police personal advised your petitioner to lodge a information before the silli p.s.(Muri o.p.) and accordingly your petitioner/ tomolainant along with her father had been to silli p.s. to lodge a complaint. But the police of silli p.s. did not take any step against the accused persons till today.
- 8. That, your petitioner along with her father had been to Basaruli Gram panchayet and gave a written complaint against the accused persons and the





before them where the husband of the complainant was also present and has stated that he has got married with a woman and disclosed that he will not stay with the complainant any more.

- 9. That your petitioner submits that the accused persons have committed an offence w/s 498 N/323/307/34 0.P. Code and 3 & 4 of Dowry prohibition Act.
- 10. That, your petitioner submits that the accused persons took her all ornaments and other necessary articles and refused to return the same to the complainant.
- 11. That, in view of the facts submitted herein before that this petition ought to be treated as an F.I.R.

It is, therefore, prayed that your Honour will be graciously pleased to send this petition to the officer-in-charge of Jhalda p.s. with a direction to treat the petition as F.I.R.

AND

your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.