

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

Misc petition No-13/2017

133

First Information of a cognizable crime reported under section 154 Cr P C. at PS.

1. Dist. Purulia. Sub Div. Raghunathpur PS Para. Year 2017 FIR No 87/17. Date 18/9/17
2. i) Act gpe. Sections 341/363/365/506/12013
iii) Act x Sections x
3. a) General Diary Reference: Entry No 614. Time 14-15h.
b) Occurrence of Offence: Day Monday Date 04.09.17 Time 12.00 hours.
c) Information received Date 18.9.17 Time 14.15hr. G.D. No 614. at the P.S.

4. Type of Information: Written/Oral Written.
5. Place of Occurrence: a) Direction and Distance from PS 09 km. East from p.s.
b) Address Village- Dubra, p.s. para. Dist- purulia.
Dubra Banchal No-II. J.L. No- 79. Beat No x
c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the name of P.S x District x

6. Complainant / Informant:
a) Name: Yasin Ansary.
b) Father's / Husband's Name S/O- Late. Kurban Ansary.
c) Date / Year of birth NOT NOTED. d) Nationality Indian.
e) Address Village + post- Dubra. p.s. para. Dist- purulia.

7. Details of known / suspected / unknown / found and with full particulars (1) Serajuddin Ansary (2) Jahangir Ansary (3) Muslim Ansary (4) Nasir Ansary, all are Soms of Late. Sukur Ansary. (5) Asiruddin Ansary S/O- Muktesh Ansary. (6) Jainab Bibi w/o- Asiruddin Ansary. (7) Nuresha Bibi w/o- Serajuddin Ansary. All are residents of Village + post- Dubra p.s. para. dist. purulia West Bengal. Excepting 2, 3, & 4. who are the residents of Upardih p.o. Raibandh p.s. Neturia, Dist- purulia.

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant x

9. Particulars of properties stolen/immovables. (Attach separate sheet, if required) x

10. Total value of properties stolen/immovables x

11. Inquest report/U.D. Certificate, if any x

12. FIR Contents: (Attach separate sheets, if required) The original written (TYPED) Complaint which is treated as FIR is attached here with.

Signature of Officer-in-Charge, Para Police Station, Dist.-Purulia, dated 18-9-17.

13. Action taken: Since the above report reveals commission of offence(s) u/s 341/363/365/506/12013 gpe & 10 & 11 of the prohibition of child marriage Act.

registered the case and took up the investigation directed by ASI. Najmul Huda. to take up the investigation/transferred to P.S. on point of jurisdiction. FIR read over to the Complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant/informant free of cost.

Is on the original (TYPED) written Complaint.

Signature of Officer-in-Charge, Para Police Station, Dist.-Purulia, dated 18-9-17.

Signature of the Officer-in-Charge, Police Station with Name BISWAJIT MONDAL.

Rank 01e para ps, SI of police, Number if any p.s. para. Dist- purulia.

Signature/Thumb impression of the Complainant/informant

Date 18/9/17, Signature of Officer-in-Charge, Para Police Station, Dist.-Purulia.



Bishwanath Das

Handwritten signature and scribbles.

In the Court of the Id. A. C. J. M., Raghunathpur.

Criminal Misc. Petition No. 13/2017.

under section 156(3) Cr.p.c.

Name and Address of the Complainant :-

Yasin Ansary S/o. Late Kurban Ansary,
of village and P.O.- Dubra, P.S.- Para, and
District Purulia.

Names and address of the accused persons :-

- 1) ~~SA~~ Serajuddin Ansary.
 - 2) Jahangir Ansary.
 - 3) Muslim Ansary.
 - 4) Wasir Ansary,
- all are sons of late Sukur Ansary
- 5) Asiruddin Ansary S/o. Muksed Ansary.
 - 6) Jainab Bibi W/o. Asiruddin Ansary.
 - 7) Nuresha Bibi W/o. Serajuddin Ansary

All are residents of vill. and P.O.- Dubra, P.S.- Para,
and District Purulia, West Bengal excepting 2, 3 & 4 who are the residents
of Upardih, P.O. Rajbandh, P.S. Natarua, District - Purulia.

Names and addresses of the witnesses :-

- 1) Complainant.
- 2) Jarina Bibi W/o. Yasin Ansary.
- 3) Afroj Ansary.

Received on to-day
(18.9.17) at 14.15
hours and started
para p.s. case
No- 87/17 dated
18.9.17 u/s- 341/
363/365/506/
120B 9PC & 10F
II of the prohibition
of the child marriage
Det-

Handwritten signature of the Officer-in-Charge.

Officer-in-Charge
Para Police Station
Dist.-Purulia

Additional Chief
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
- A-2017
Mantaj Ansary,
Rachha (Dugulia)

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

4) Mantaj Ansary,
Rachha, both are sons of Yasin Ansary.

5) Azim Ansary S/o. Jamal Ansary,

all are residents of village and P.O.- Dubra, P.S.- Para,

District Purulia. And

Others.

Date, time and place of occurrence:

On 04/09/2016 at about 12 noon followed by a number of
occasions including 23/09/2017, 30/07/2017, intervening
night of 03/08/2017 and 04/08/2017 and till today.

Offences committed under Sections :-

341/363/365/506/120B I.P.C. read with sections
10 & 11 of prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

The humble petition on behalf of the above
named petitioner/ complainant,

most respectfully sheweth :-

1) That one Intaj Ansary is the complainant's son who
is aged about 16 years being his date of birth
25/03/2001.

2) That one Serajuddin Ansary who is the Co-villager
of the complainant has got a minor daughter namely
Nargis Khatoon.

Patil Suresh Nageshji

Additional Chgo
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
- AUG 2017
Raghunathour (Purulia)

[Signature]

[Signature]

- 3 -

3) That they both being the teenagers and neighbour to each other a friendly relationship had grown up between them i.e. in between said Intaj and Margis.

4) That on 04/09/2016 at about 12 noon said Intaj and Margis being friends to each other were gossiping in the house of said Serajuddin.

5) That taking advantage of their such solitude discourse said Serajuddin along with his wife motivatedly went out of his house and called some dignatories of their community including his brothers Jahangir Ansary, Muslim Ansary and Nasir Ansary sons of late, ~~Murad~~ ^{Sukur} Ansary, ^{and} one Asiruddin Ansary s/o. Mukshed Ansary and wife of Asiruddin viz. Jainab Bibi and others of village Dubra P.S. Para- and District Purulia and when they assembled there and saw said Intaj Ansary and Margis Khatoon were gossiping your petitioner was called for and when he along with his ~~w~~ wife had been to there at the residence of said Serajuddin Ansary the persons assembled pronounced their verdict by saying that said Intaj would have to be given in marriage with said Margis Khatoon, but your petitioner most humbly ^{expressed} his refusal to such proposal and requested them to remember the fact that both of them were minors and their marriage ^{at} such an age would certainly be in utter violation of Law.

Handwritten signature

Additional Chief
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
- AUG 2017
Bachhawalpur (Punjab)

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

- 4 -

6) That then those persons decided that the marriage of said Intaj and Margis would be solemnised after their attaining majority and a date was fixed and the same is 12/07/2019 and the complainant again uttered that still said Intaj would not attain the required age of marriage i.e. 21 and the persons behind would be guilty and would come within the penal provisions of the prohibition of Child marriage Act, 2006 and in consequence of such a disclosure the persons gathered with said serajuddin Ansary took partitioned view and told that the complainant would like to evade the proposed marriage by trickery and they held out threat by saying that if the complainant or his relations took recourse of law/Acts/ penal code, then they would remove them from this world. That apart they procured the signature of your petitioner on a blank formate of " vikanama " forcibly so that your petitioner or his relations would not be able to disown the event of the proposed marriage.

7) That there after the above named accused persons ~~XXXXX~~ in connivance with each other without the knowledge and consent of your petitioner or his relations took Intaj Ansary with them on 23/09/2016 near the vacant

Business was done

[Handwritten signature]


Additional Chief
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
- 1 AUG 2017
Pachunathpur (Purulia)

- 5 -

place in front of the house of Istak Ansary S/o. Rahim Ansary of village Dubra P.S. Para, and District Purulia and gave him in marriage with the minor daughter of serajuddin Ansary i.e. with Margis Khatoon and unfortunately the " Nika Nama " so mentioned in the forgoing paragraph was utilized in the very event in utter violation of law of the land.

8) That after performance of such illegal activities the accused persons forcefully caused arrangement for entrance of said Margis into the house of your petitioner asked him to maintain said Margis, though they also had ensured him that he would not be made compelled to allow Intaj to remain with said Margis as husband and wife but the cost of her day to day life would have to be borne by your petitioner till 12/07/2019, thereafter both Margis and Intaj would have to start to pave their life as husband and wife and in case of refusal the complainant would have to suffer rigorous imprisonment since the accused persons held out threats by saying that on refusal they would implicate your petitioner and his relatives in different false criminal cases even the complainant's minor son would not also be spared and your petitioner out of fear was reluctantly compelled to accept the entry of said Margis Khatoon into

Prasanna Narsingh



- 6 -

their residence, but after a great deal of toil succeeded to keep those minors separately.

9) That said Nargis Khatoon remained few months in the house of your petitioner who maintained her as his own daughter, but suddenly one night she placed her obstinate sulkiness by way of saying that she would spend the nights to come with said Intaj Ansary to which your petitioner and his other inmates declined and on the following morning said Nargis out of her own volition left the residence of the complainant and went away to her father's house. Thereafter for a considerable period neither Nargis came again to your petitioner's house, nor did her parents keep any relation with or take any information about your petitioner or his inmates till 29/07/2017.

10) That however last on 30/07/2017 the accused person no.- 1 i.e. said Serajuddin Ansary, happened to be the father of Nargis had talks with your petitioner's son Intaj over mobile phone and asked him to take back Nargis to his father's house and to maintain her there as earlier till 12/07/2019 and on good faith he had been to his residence in the evening hours but alas did not return till today.

Contd.....p/7

- 7 -

Handwritten signature

AGU
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
Raghunathpur (Purulia)

Handwritten signature

11) That the complainant had been to the house of said Serajuddin for time and again but the accused No.-1 remained silent over the issue and last on 04/08/2017 on inquiry the accused no.-1 abused your petitioner, threatened him with dire consequences and finally replied your petitioner " Toder Chheleke ar fire pabina, oke phalas kare diyechhi."

12) That thereafter during thorough search your petitioner was informed that on interening night of 03/08/2017 and 04/08/2017 the above named accused persons by a " B O L L E R O " four wheelers took him to uppardih, P.O.- Ray Bandh P.S.- Nituria, and District Purulia. But till today he failed to have any specific whereabouts of his son Intaj and he and his relatives thus reasonably apprehending the foreboding of thunder-crash and he on 06/08/2017 after sun set with the apprehension of utter ruin rushed to para P.S. and lodged an information there with in writing inter alia depicting all the reality so taken place but the police personnel of para P.S. did not take any positive steps against the wrong doers by starting any specific case till 10/08/2017 and as a sequel where of your petitioner finding no

Contd.....p/8

