the Complainant/informant

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

FURUNE	from cratter regres sacretation treatment (CAR)	OFWES
First Intermediation or a confluen	During Calan St. Parsul'aln	A) THE 2019 FREE 183/19 000 1000
	con 341/427/323/506/3041/34	
LIAC STOC SIG	3 341192173217306 1384173	The state of the s
		5-10 Time 13:15 mg
3. s.) General Chary References. Ency	13mo 23.65.1	
p) Occurrence of Carroos : (19)	A AQ.19 - 10.12.	The armount of the state of the
	0.08.19 Form 13.15 W	
	Withou wind by 6.2.W	
		tilièbrary, strume west
b) Adress bt Silke You		Anchor - Sonaifan
	errer l'a (m), farolignesse	
c) In case outsides and of ther Porter	Chiten Westernamen PE	Distric
i Complanant/Intempet:		~.4
B) News.		ar Harra
b) Former's Membard's Neuro		
c) Cate/Yeuros balin		Sacotor and y
e) Accres Lis Vita han ay	-S. N. B.U, Kanchild, PS-P	errana (m) 12 Dist - Perturia :
7 Details of the aunt suspential limited		
(Adaen separate shoot innecess	Soi Toutam musto	padhyay 80-18, Dayamay humpay
	Associate professor,	
	Sidho Nante Bis	
	Ranchi pa, ps-	perreccia (M)
	Destr - purulia	
8 Resemsfordelay in reporting by	Passour planstariament Co.	ext complaint
9. Promises of preparities at a tue from	PARTICIPATION OF THE PROPERTY PRINCIPAL PRINCI	2
10. Total values of programme stations	oscious: -	
11. Inquestrepon U.D. Casema, "al		
12. FIR Contents: (Amout separate		
The Participant (Production of Specialists		al complaint of the complainant
	which for	a tel as F. 1. N. is a Hacked ke te con in.
13. Action taken: Since the above	eponereluciriai eia analida en esta	m wic -341/427/323/206/21/34 801
***************************************	8	039-6-0-6-6-1984

	Commercial States St. SV	2. 90 meil Di
investigation/transferred to P. S	CT P	LAL My Language Constrain FR mad overte
the Complainant offennant, autobs	e de de la companya d	went to be Complianted Afterparation of cost
il on	an Win	1
W. P.	The state of the s	1 U della charge
		A LILY THE PECTOR IN P.S.
		Puromental Puroma
1 %	Explaint man Sis	Sautor Kaward Callin berly
peon	4./	0,
6	en ins	Charles & Police

Museum Stc. Purilalm po

2 A JULI 2019 IST PER Court of Ch. Misc. Petit

Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purulia

Misc. Petition no: 84/2019

(U/S 156(3) Criminal Procedure Code)



Name and Address of the Complainant:

Pranab Kumar Hazra, Librarian of Sidho Kanho Birsha University,

Ranchi Road, P.O: Sainik School, District: Purulia, Pin: 723104 (W.B)

Name and Address of the Accused Person:

Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay s/o Lt. Dayamoy Mukhopadhyay

Associate Professor, Department of History, Sidho Kanho Birsha University,

Ranchi Road, P.O: Sainik School, District: Purulia, Pin: 723104 (W.B) along with 10-15 numbers of unknown persons.

Date of Occurrence:

23/05/2019 at about 12:30 P.M at the office of Library of Ranchi Road, P.O: Sainik School, District: Purulia, Pin: 723104 (W.B).

Offence Punishable:

U/s 341/ 427/ 323/ 506/ 511 I.P.C, u/s 3 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act 1984

Allen,

Name and Address of the Witnesses:

13.15 mg on 16.08.19 an

- 1. Sri Pranab Kumar Hazra, the complainant.
- 2. Sri Kartick Pattanayak, the staff of Library department, S.K.B.U.
- Started PLOTING 3. Sri Jyoti Sankar Mandol, Professor, Department of English, S.K.B.U.


PS case NO - 12 183/1921-10:08:19 MS - 3 41/427/323/ 506/511/38762 39-

The above named complainant begs to state as follows:

P-D-P-P-Act, 19841. That the complainant is a librarian of Sidho Kanho Birsha University (in short S.K.B.U.) in Purulia. The accused Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay is an Associate Professor in the department of History of S.K.B.U.

Inspector In Charge Purulia (M) P.S. Dist. Purulia





the accused person with some 10-15 numbers of outsider forming an unlawful assembly criminally trespassed into the office of the complainant. At that time the accused person was under the influence of liquor.

- 3. That the complainant at the time of occurrence was working in his office in connection with preparation of papers related to National Assessment of Accreditation Council (N.A.A.C) and the accused persons with an intention to commit mischief for destruction of valuable documents related to N.A.A.C enter into the office of the complainant and the accused persons destructed the valuable documents and office record which were kept on the table of the complainant.
- 4. That the complainant had under gone surgical operation for inguinal hernia of both sides on 21/02/2019 and doctor advised the complainant to lead his life safely and carefully so that outside thrust would not happen which is/was within the knowledge of the accused person namely Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay. The accused person knowing fully well about the surgical interference done by the doctor on the body of the complainant, the accused person namely Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay with such intention to cause bodily injury likely to cause death physically assaulted the complainant on his operated portion of the body and for which the complainant suffered much pain in the body specially operated portion.
- 5. That at that time the accused person namely Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay was also physically assaulted to the witness no.2 Sri Kartick Pattanayak and in consequence of which Sri Kartick Pattanayak also sustained bodily pain. Entire incident was witnessed by the Sri Kartick Pattanayak, Sri Prof. Joyti Sankar Mandol and others.
- 6. That the complainant is aged about 62 years and as per advice of doctor he is/ was leading restricted life during post-operative period and due to the incident of assault stated hereinbefore made by the accused Goutam Mukhopadhyay the complainant not only sustained bodily injury but also suffering from mental pain.
- 7. That on the same day i.e. 23/05/2019 the matter was verbally informed to the Vice chancellor of S.K.B.U and on 24/05/2019 and 26/05/2019 written informations were given to the vice chancellor of





S.K.B.U with regard to the incident happened on 23/05/2019 with a request to lodge a complaint to the concern police station.

- 8. That when it came to the knowledge of the complainant that no such written complainant was given to the police station, in such situation the complainant on 29/05/2019 lodge a written complaint to the inspector in charge of Purulia (M) police station but no steps was taken in this matter up to 20/06/2019 and the complainant sent a written complaint to the Superintendent of Police, Purulia with a request to take steps in the matter. Said complaint was sent to the Superintendent of Police, Purulia through registered post which was acknowledge by the office of Superintendent of Police, Purulia on 24/06/2019.
- That on 22/07/2019 the complainant came to know that no specific case has been started against the accused persons over the written complaint lodged by the complainant and hence the complainant filed this complaint before this Ld. Court today.
- 10. That the complainant waited for a considerable period with an expectation that specific case would be started by the police administration but due to non-taking any steps the complainant has compelled to file this complaint before your honour and some delay, if any has occurred due to that reason.
- 11. That the complainant has been apprehended that at any moment such incident might be caused by the accused Sri Goutam Mukhopadhyay.

It is therefore prayed that your honour may graciously be pleased to pass necessary order to send this application to the Inspector in Charge of Purulia (M).police station u/s 156(3) Cr.P.C for treating the same as First Information Report and to investigate the case accordingly.

AND

For which act of kindness your petitioner shall ever pray.