

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

First Information of a cognizable crime	considerable and the monthly with the Car Car and Care	
1 Dat Publica Sub Ding Rachuma	Mpm. PS Raghundapm, year 2012 FIR 180. 105/22 Date 17/149/20	
2 NACE IDE	000	_
	279 4 Sections	
8 a) General Diana Reference: Entre Min	in Other scie 2 Sections 184 M. VAC	
h) Occumence of Offence : Day	1009 Time 18:45 hrs	
Clinformation received Plates IPT A 2	Of The House of Cold and All Co	74
4. Type of Information : Written/Oral 2	21 Time 1814 5 mg GIR Rive 100-9 at the P. S.	
	Northern O2 Km West.	
MAddress At - Muncal A	anga mass Durgamandir on Invalor Barrown Road	0
word-13 RS-Rashunatham	: Mit - Purhimentine (ward -13 Roghmatom Munique	مر\
c) In case ourside limited the Wallies Station of	Chapthemanaciff S. A. Distict M.	m
6. Complainant/Informant:	CALLERY CONTROL EST CALLER CALLERY CONTROL CALLERY CONTROL CALLERY CAL	
a) Name:	SI Biswall Surpor (Rackmather PS)	
b) Father's / Hustrandis Nome	S/O Bhapeh Surpor (Raghunthern PS) S/O Bhapeh Surpor. O) Nationality Sudion.	
c) Date / Year of birth	with Nationality	
e) Address At- Roswow.	PS-Gopalnager Drst-North 24 pgs	
7. De La ci manifestipasementationi decid	Isod with full centraters	
(Attach separate shart dimensesses)	and any and treats the second trees.	
n Ni Bha	Jan @ Nivanjan Bowsi 30 Mangal Bau	81
9 000	I PRODUCE	
of Kay	Jan @ Nivanjan Bowsi 3/0 Mangal Baus humathypros Wood No - 1 PS-Raghunathyprom,	
de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della	- Purulia	
(The do	18/100 of the offendong valuele bearing leg No-	
WY	55/Calca) James dearling prints	
, -,-	(
8. Reasons for delay in reporting ងមូវវែមេបេខក្សៅ	anari/informarit	

9. Priculars of properties similar developed: (A)	Back separate sheet if regulired): SUZLE one. Antork ick shahi	อ
9. Priculars of properties statentiewsbysis (As	itación separate street, if requirero): Slized one Anto-Krakshahi	0
9. Priculars of properties strikeniewebest (As	NO - WB 55 / 6360 by made at many.	ð
bevoing Reg !	NO - WB 55/6360 1 made 25 mm	ð •
bearing Keg 1	NO - WB 55/6360 & made 25 mm	
10. Total value of progratics statement and the statement of the control of the c	NO - WB 55/6360 & made 25 mm	
10. Total value of progratics; sketaminoralization. 11. Inquest report/U.C. Casserson, if samp. 12. FIR Contents: (Asserts superside standard, if	10 - WB 55 / 6360 in made permanan.	
10. Total value of progratics; sketaminoralization. 11. Inquest report/U.C. Casserson, if samp. 12. FIR Contents: (Asserts superside standard, if	10 - WB 55 / 6360 in made permanan.	o
10. Total value of progratical statement from the statement of the stateme	10 - WB 55 / 6360 in made permanan.	∂
10. Total value of progratical statement from the statement of the stateme	NO - WB 55/6360 & made 25 mm	.≺.
10. Total value of progratical statement from the statement of the stateme	10 - WB 55 / 6360 in made permanan.	∂
10. Total value of propositions statement workers. 11. Inquest reportal Cr. Casacras, it same. 12. FIR Contents: (Associa stapessate stapesis, it the original Green beauty that	required Complaint of the Complainant Whichling as FIR is ratached business.	∂
10. Total value of proposition statement invalued: 11. Inquest report M. C. Conserve of sing. 12. FIR Contents: (Astroch statement statement of single or igninal a been breatle.) 13. Action taken: Since the above report convergence.	required) voiten Camplaint of the Companion Whicher id as FIR is ratached his with 279 1PC I had with 17/09/12	∂
10. Total value of propositions statement invalued: 11. Inquest report II. Conserve to supposite statement in the original a been bounded. 13. Action taken: Since the above report converse.	required) vitten Camplaint of the Comparison Whiching let as FIR is ratached busewith M. V. Alt Inspector-in-charge)
10. Total value of progratics statement involved. 11. Inquest reportal C. Casarra, it says. 12. FIR Contents: (Addiction supposate states in the original Great beautiful to the state states.) 13. Action taken: Since the above report contents.	required) vitten Camplaint of the Comparison Whiching let as FIR is ratached busewith M. V. Alt Inspector-in-charge)
10. Total value of progressives exchanging when the case and took up the investion of the case and took up the investion of the case and took up the investion.	written Camplaint of the Complainant Whichly id as FIR is ratached busewith M. V Act Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. to take up the)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be a proposition statement of smy. 11. Inquest report of the contents: (Address statement statement of smy. The original a been briefly and a been briefl	written Camplaint of the Complainant Whichly id as FIR is ratached husewith M. V Act Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. on point of jurisdiction FIR read over to)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be a proposition statement of smy. 11. Inquest report of the contents: (Address statement statement of smy. The original a been briefly and a been briefl	written Camplaint of the Complainant Whichly id as FIR is ratached busewith M. V Act Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. to take up the)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be contents: (Associate statement statement of smy. 12. FIR Contents: (Associate statement statement of smy. The original Great statement of smy. 13. Action taken: Since the above report contents that the case and took up the investinvestinvestigation/transferred to P. S.	Fraguisad) Written Camplaint of the Companion Whicher Let as FIR is ratached husewith M. V Alt Raghunathipur P. S. Raghunathipur P)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be a proposition statement of smy. 11. Inquest report of the contents: (Address statement statement of smy. The original a been briefly and a been briefl	Frequired) Written Camplaint of the Comparant Whicher Let as FIR is retached his with The pector-in-charge M. V Art Raghunathour Pro- Raghunathour Pro- Raghunathour Pro- Radional directed Ast Manaf Kr D. 60 Dist Purulia (W.B.) On point of jurisdiction. Fix read over to Trectly reported and a copy given to the Complainant/Informant free of cost.)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be a proposition statement of smy. 11. Inquest report of the contents: (Address statement statement of smy. The original a been briefly and a been briefl	required) Note the Complaint Which has the as FIR is retached herewith The commission of offences and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Imperior to take up the contraction and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Imperior to the contraction of cost.)
10. Total value of progratics: stateminumbed 11. Inquest reportal. In Case and investigation/transferred to P. S. The original Grant Security of the investigation/transferred to P. S. the Complainant/informant, admitted to be contained.	Fraguired) Within Camplaint of the Complainant Which has the as FIR is ratached would, It as FIR is ratached would, M. V. Art Inspector-in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur P. S. We want to Refer to Properties of Cost.)
10. Total value of progratics: stateminumbed 11. Inquest report N. C. Casarra, it say. 12. FIR Contents: (Adach separate shears, if bear board in the original a bear beard in the original and the case and took up the investingation/transferred to P. S. the Complainant/informant, admitted to be content.	Frequired) Notice Complaint of the Complainant which has a FIR is ratached bus with Let as FIR is ratached bus with M. V Art Raghunathour P.S. Raghunathour P.S. Rector in charge of the complainant line of cost Manner. Ma)
10. Total value of progratics statemental and 11. Inquest reportal Cr. Casarra & statement 12. FIR Contents: (Autoch separate shears & Lean board of Land Contents of Land Conte	Fraguired) Within Camplaint of the Complainant Which has the as FIR is ratached would, It as FIR is ratached would, M. V. Art Inspector-in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur Properties and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Insector in-charge Raghunathpur P. S. We want to Refer to Properties of Cost.)
10. Total value of progratics: statemental and 11. Inquest report N. C. Casarra, it stay. 12. FIR Contents: (Astroch sequence sheets, it bear bears, it sheets) 13. Action taken: Since the above report contents (By registered the case and took up the investinvestigation/transferred to P. S. the Complainant/informant, admitted to be considered. Signature/Thumb impression of	required) Notition Complaint of the Complainant Whichling as FIR is ratached would, It as FIR is ratached would, M. V. Art This pector-in-charge Raghunathour P. S. Regularity reported Ast Mano K. D. Dist. Purulia (W.B.) The point of jurisdiction. FIR read ever to precity reported and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Informant Information Provided and a copy given to the Complainant Information Provided and a copy given to the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association Provided Assoc)
10. Total value of progressics stateminimalized. 11. Inquest reportal In Case and same states in the original of been breath. 12. FIR Contents: (Adach: separate states; if the original of been breath.) 13. Action taken: Since the above report remove 18.4. registered the case and took up the investing investigation/transferred to P. S. the Complainant/informant, admitted to be considered. Signature/Thumb impression of the Complainant/informant.	Frequired) Notifier Camplaint of the Complainant Whichling as FIR is ratached herewith M. V. Auf Rayon Property of Raghunathour P.S. Regulation of the Complainant Inspector-in-charge Raghunathour P.S. On point of jurisdiction. FIR read over to precity reported and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The Complainant Inspector-in-charge Raghunathour P.S. Manner Manner Raghunathour P.S. Manner Raghunathour P.S. Manner Raghunathour P.S. Manner Raghunathour P.S.)
10. Total value of propositions statement would be considered to be considered.	required) Notition Complaint of the Complainant Whichling as FIR is ratached would, It as FIR is ratached would, M. V. Art This pector-in-charge Raghunathour P. S. Regularity reported Ast Mano K. D. Dist. Purulia (W.B.) The point of jurisdiction. FIR read ever to precity reported and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant free of cost. The provided and a copy given to the Complainant Informant Informant Information Provided and a copy given to the Complainant Information Provided and a copy given to the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association of the Complainant Information Provided Association Provided Assoc)

To
The Inspector-in-charge
Raghunathpur P.S.
Dist.- Purulia

Ref: Raghunathpur PS GDE No. 1005 dated- 17.09.2022

Sub: Prayer for lodging FIR against arrested accused person namely Nibhajan @ Niranjan Bauri (30) S/o Mangal Bauri of Raghunathpur, Ward No 1, PS - Raghunathpur, Dist.- Purulia.

Sir,

In producing herewith the arrested accused person namely Nibhajan @ Niranjan Bauri (30) S/o Mangal Bauri of Raghunathpur, Ward No 1, PS – Raghunathpur, Dist.-Purulia along with seized one Black & Yellow colour Auto – Rickshaw bearing Reg. No. WB 55/6360.

I beg to report that today (17.09.2022) myself SI Biswajit Sarkar along with C/685 Dilip Chhatait and C/716 Achintya Majee both of Raghunathpur PS, Dist.- Purulia including evening mobile officer & force were performing special Naka Checking duty at Munsefdanga near Durgamandir on Purulia – Barakar Road vide Raghunathpur PS CC No. 2845/22 dated- 17.09.2022. At about 18:05 hrs. I found one Auto Rickshaw was coming with heavy speed from Raghunathpur Traffic more side towards Asansol side rashly and negligently. We were tried to stop the said Auto - Rickshaw driver but he was trying to escape from the Naka point so rashly, there was every possibility of loss / serious injury of nearby public or passenger of the said Auto – Rickshaw due to his such driving. After a hot chase we could able to stop him. On being asked, he stated his name and address as noted above, but unable to give any satisfactory account for his such rush and negligent driving which may cause endanger to human life.

So, I seized the Auto - Rickshaw with a view to prosecute him U/S 279 IPC and 184 M.V. Act 1988 in presence of police party and one passenger duly signed by them. The whole process of seizure was completed in between 18:15 hrs to 18:25 hrs. I also arrested him as he violated Sec. 279 IPC and 184 M.V. Act 1988 at 18:35 hrs. His family member was also informed about the ground of arrest.

Hence I pray that a specific case U/S 279 IPC and 184 M.V. Act 1988 may kindly be initiated against accused Nibhajan @ Niranjan Bauri (30) S/o Mangal Bauri of Raghunathpur, Ward No 1, PS – Raghunathpur, Dist.- Purulia and arrange it's investigation.

Yours faithfully

Received on 17/09/22 at his and stirted Raghunathpur PS Case
No-105/22 dt 17/09/22 WS 2791PC
Li Read with 184 M.V. Act.

SI Biswajit Sarkar Raghunathpur PS Dist.- Purulia Dr 17f0 9/21

Inspector-in-charge (709/ W. Raghunathpur P. S. Dist.- Purulia (W.B.)