
FIRST INFORMATION

951-Purulia	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	1937
	COUNTREPORT	1001
1 De Cacordia Sub	Cognizable crime reported under matter 1940; PC mps. Divin Marris 6207 vine 2023. Sections 420/406/506. PC	
2.0 Ad	Bert Date P. C. P.	
P. MACI	S - 201 10 9207 1029 .	THATIALIA
a a) General Diary References b) Occurrence of Offerios: Di	Entra 429/406/506 40	The same of the sa
b) Occurrence of Offerion: O	Sections 429/406/506 . #Fine Chief Sections 18 Sections 18 Sections 2023.	THE RESERVE TO SHARE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
c) Pritomination received Dalla	Entry No. 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19	19.115/10
4: Type or information: Uthritma	The 12 Marie	09/05/2022
5) Pance of Cocumence: (1) Chie	when and compatt. and the God the	18
D) ADDRESS	Cyc States I was 13.45 kis. Go me composit. Compost. Ry misc. Destination was 1500 and 1500 a	10 - 35/2010
	・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	
c) in case outside limit of thisp	Commence of the second	mondozor.
F. ARLE CHICAGO PROPERTY	The state of the s	CI
D) Father's thusiantes Mane	The state of the	
C) Date / Year of initial	West LENGO De La Commence de la Comm	
OF CIPPER	The state of the s	
e) Address	de la	***************************************
T DAOGRESS CILLY ADA	Galoli L	9/190
T DAGGERSS WILLY ARE	Galoli L	digo.
T DAGGERS WILL ARE	Galoli L	digo.
T DAGGERSS WILLY ARE	Galoli L	digo.
T PROGRESS CILLY ADA	Galoli L	dian.
T DAGGERSS WILLY ARE	Galoli L	digo.
T PROGRESS CILLY ADA	Marcused with full particulars Som task. Kumar Roy makak Som task. Kumar Roy makak VIII = Bhalubasa Ros Ros.	Soros.
T DAGGERSS WILLY ARE	Santosh Kumar Roy makah -/o Nirod Roy makah VIII = Bhalubasa, P.S. mane 2015t. Darutia.	dign. Soror.
T DAGGERS WILL ARE	Marcused with full particulars Som task. Kumar Roy makak Som task. Kumar Roy makak VIII = Bhalubasa Ros Ros.	dian. Sarar.
T PROGRESS WILLS	Marcused with full particulars Som task. Kumar Roy makak Som task. Kumar Roy makak VIII = Bhalubasa Ros Ros.	digo.

8. Reesons for d	in reporting by their				
-		insmonitions manit	**************		
9. Prticulars of m	inequipment in a second contraction of the s		****************	*****	***************************************
	DESTRICTION OF THE PROPERTY.	(Attach separate dhe	week TX		J 1
***********			et wrednined):	OY	
10. Total value of	renderenties estates and her				***************
11. Inquest renorti	WELL CONTRACTOR STEENED THE ST	D:			***************************************
12 FIR Contact	W.D. Camento, Hierry			~	
. T. I. COMENTS:	(Attitution separate sheet	E if continue		A.	1
- 0	100.00.351202	3 Continued //	· Original.	complexant	
	(Attack separate shart /or . 10 - 35/2025 F. I. R. 15. 8	- of 140. co	onplaton.	160,000	of mise
	1.00.18.0	ybroduesd ~	1	MICK 15 10	realed es
		701	occuse.		
				A'u.	< .00
				181	2
A allam A					Officer-in-Charge
. Action allen: Sir	rce the above report resu			Ma	anbazar Police Static
******************		See Commission of of	Terroe 420/	406/506	DistPurulia
				- Commission of the Commission	
mainhan du					*
eyistered the cast	and took up the income	Energiver of the	A	*********	
stigation/transfen	ed to P.S. mant, admitted to he cre	- Charten and and Charten	1. Saymong	Ar. Poma	-
Complainant/infor	mant, admitted to be car	men All	(D)	Principal and the second	to take up the
	TO THE LEGICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	recorded and.	a copy down to the Co	Chount on Holling Calculation	on. FIR read over to
and a	Grand Control	Constant was	The second second second	refraggrantimetin	antifee of cost
				41	A Complete to
	The state of the s	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.500	
	L. f				Officer-in-Charge
			rations of the Officer-in-	Charge Delicas	bazar Police Station
The state of the s		BC-00	0.	The state of the s	atalia urulia
		Decision of the last of the la	BISWAJIT	BANE	PIEF
ature/Thumb impr	ession of 7	4 5.2/2		arranes de santes de la contraction	
remaining a series	A.	TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	5.1. of	ofice	4
THE PROPERTY OF	officer-in-	harge won	ala a	The second section of the second	
00 00 ppm	e compli.	Chie Statio	Will I THE HOLD STORE OF THE ST	100200 PS	2015/- poro/10
	officer-oc	olicella	the contract of the contract o	The same of the sa	- The state of the Contract of
	bazar E	ouru.			And the second s





1 July 27

In the Court of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purulia.

Misc. (Petition) Case No. 35 /2023

Name & Address of the Complainant:

Sri Adristo Mahato S/o Jitendranath Mahato, a resident of village-Dayaldih, P.S. Manbazar, Dist- Purulia.

Name & Address of the Accused persons:

Santosh Kumar Roy Mahato S/o Nirod Roy Mahato a resident of village- Bhalubasa, P.S. Manbazar, Dist- Purulia.


Date of Occurrence: On 31/05/2021 and 31/01/2022 and 09/05/2022.

Under Section:

Name of the witness:

- 1) Sri Adristo Mahato (Complainant)
- 2) Parbati Mahato D/o Adristo Mahato
- 3) Saktipada Mahato S/o 4 Luthur Mahato
- 4) Kousik Mahato S/o Santosh Mahato & others.\


Received 2019. And Services State of St



3-1-3-3


The above name complainant begs to state as follows:


- Dayaldih, whereas the accused persons is also the permanent resident of village-Bhalubasa, both are under P.S. Manbazar, Dist-Purulia and within the jurisdiction of the Ld. Court.
- L.R.O. Office and sometime in the year 2021 acquainted with the accused persons namely Santosh Kumar Roy Mahato at his works place and developed good relation and in course thereof the accused person heard that the daughter of the complainant namely Parbati Mahato though passed D.E. L. Ed. Training course for primary teacher but till unemployed.
- That hearing the fact the accused person represented that as he is doing some work under NGO and has direct link with higher level ruling party members including the officer at



Kolkata Primary Council Office and is able to provide job of said Parbati Mahato as she is fit for Primary Teacher.


- That after due negotiation the accused person also represented before the complainant that if the complainant pay Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs) to the accused person for qualifying the TET examination as well as for appointment of Primary Teacher.
- 5) That the complainant being a village rustic fair minded persons believed the version of the said accused persons and paid Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs) cash to the accused persons in his house. But the complainant very cleverly received had done videography in his Mobile set all those episode i.e. the payment of the said amount to the accused person.
- 6) That though the accused person represented and promised before the complainant that he would provide job to the daughter of the complainant as Primary Teacher, but after






laps of time when the accused failed to provide the said job then the complainant understood that the representation on the part of the accused person was out and out false.

- 7) That the accused persons knowing full well that he is not in a position to provide any job as Primary Teacher of Parbati Mahato falsely represented all those facts and received the said amount.
- That thereafter, though the complainant on various occasion requested the accused person to returned back the said amount to the complainant, but the accused person deferred the matter on some pretext and other and ultimately on 31/05/2021 the accused person executed one document stating that he will pay Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh) on 31/01/2022 and the rest Rs. Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs) will pay afterwards. Thereafter the accused persons did not pay any amount much/ less Rs. 1,00,000/- and thereafter the rest Rs. 3,00,000/- to the complainant again



and again put pressure upon the accused person for payment to him the entire Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs).


- 9) That thereafter, the accused person issued one cheque bearing no 789119 dated 09/05/2022 of Rs. 4,00,000/(Rupees Four Lakhs) of S.B.I. Manbazar Branch in favour of the complainant, thereafter, to his utter surprise detected that the cheque so issued by the accused person in favour of the complainant is torn and accordingly the accused person has full knowledge that the said cheque shall not be enchased in any bank and accordingly the complainant is not in a position for deposit the cheque for encasement.
- person fraudulently and dishonestly induced the complainant to pay his Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs) for the job as Primary Teacher of the said Parbati Mahato knowing full well that the accused person has no power to provide such job and accordingly act on the part of the





complainant and gain to the accused persons and the accused persons cheated the complainant of Rs. 4,00,000/(Rupees Four Lakhs).

- accused persons to pay back his said amount then the accused person threatened the complainant by giving out that if the complainant dare to ask for the said money or to lodge any complaint anywhere or any P.S. in that case the accused person will kill the complainant and his family members as the accused persons is a very dangerous and desperate man.
- 12) That however, the complainant sent one complaint on 24/01/2023 to the Officer-in-charge of Manbazar P.S. against the accused person but the said Officer-in-charge did not taken any legal action then the complainant lodged another complaint to the Superintendent of Police, Purulia but with same fate, then the complainant is under



compelling circumstances is filing this complaint before the Ld. Court for appropriate reliefs under the law.

It is therefore, prayed that your honour may be pleased to sent the petition of complaint to the Officer-in-charge Manbazar P.S. for treating the same as FIR under section 156(3) for investigation.

And

For which act of kindness your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.